

LAW OFFICES BARRY R. LIPSITZ

BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 8 755 MAIN STREET MONROE, CONNECTICUT 06468

PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS

2665 VI

BARRY R. LIPSITZ
DOUGLAS M. McALLISTER

NOV 1 5 2004

In re Application of:

Application No.:

Filed: For:

Liu et al. 09/666,901

September 20, 2000

Technology Center 2600

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DETERMINING A TRANSMISSION BIT RATE IN A STATISTICAL MULTIPLEXER

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Art Unit: 2665

Examiner: S. Nguyen

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is:

[X] Response (4 pages);

Attorney Docket No.: GIC-620

[X] Return receipt postage prepaid postcard;

[X] I certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on November 5, 2004.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in the payment of the required fee(s) or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0625.

Very truly yours,

Douglas M. McAllister Attorney for Applicant(s) Registration No. 37,886

Law Office of Barry R. Lipsitz

755 Main Street

Monroe, Connecticut 06468

(203) 459-0200



RECEIVED

NOV 1 5 2004

Technology Center 2600 PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DETERMINING A TRANSMISSION BIT RATE IN A STATISTICAL MULTIPLEXER

Mail Stop: AMENDMENT Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on: November 5. 2004.

Signature: Carol Prentice.

RESPONSE

Dear Sir:

This Response is responsive to the Office Action mailed on September 20, 2004.

REMARKS

Summary

Claims 1-13 are pending in the application. The Examiner has indicated that claims 4-12 contain allowable subject matter.

Claims 1-3 and 13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. \S 102(b) as being anticipated by Balakrishnan (US 5,793,425).

Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections in view of the following comments.

Discussion of Balakrishnan

Claims 1-3 and 13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as